

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/767,522	ROE ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Emily M. Lloyd	3736	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(1) Emily M. Lloyd. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles Schmal. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 January 2008

**Time:** 12:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

32 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussion regarding the amendment of claim 32 regarding a 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection, an objection to claim 49, and clarification of some specification changes.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)